EXHIBIT "4"

ORM B10 (Official Form 10) (10/05)					
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN	DISTRICT OF I	NEW YORK	CROSE OF CLAIM		
Name of Deblor	Case Number:		RECEIVED		
Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC	<u>  05-44640 (</u>	RDD)	KLOWITES		
NOTE: This form should not be used to make a claim for an administrative expens		mmancement of the case. A request	AUG 1 1 2006		
for payment of an administrative expense may be filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503.  Name of Creditor (The person or other entity to whom the debtor owes			1		
money or property)	else has	ox if you are aware that anyone filed a proof of claim relating to	LAUDTSHAN CARCON		
Wachovia Bank, N.A., successor by merger to	your dai	m. Attach copy of statement	KURTZMAN CARSON		
SouthTrust Bank	glving pa				
Name and addresses where notices should be sent	⊠ Check b	ox if you have never received	☑Date Stamped Copy Returned		
Christopher D. Carson	any notic	es from the bankruptcy court			
Burr & Forman LLP 420 N 20th Street, Suite 3100	In this ca	50.	No self addressed stamped enve		
Blimingham, Alabama 35203		ox if the address differs from	□No copy to return		
Telephone No. (205)251-3000		ess on the envelope sent to			
Last four digits of account or other number by which craditor identifies	you by the Check here	B COURL	THIS SPACE IS FOR COURT USE ONLY		
deblor.		a previously filed	claim, dated:		
	If this claim	<b>Jam</b> ends			
1. Basis for claim	Retiree	benefits as defined in 11	U.S.C. § 1114(a)		
Goods sold Services performed	₩age	s, salaries, and compensa	ation (Fill out below)		
Money loaned					
☐ Personal injury/wrongful death	Unpai	our digits of your SS # d compensation for servic	es performed		
Taxes	from _	to			
Other: Pre-petition fort, contract, and equitable claims     Date debt was incurred:			(02(8)		
2. Date debt was incurred:	3. If court Jud	gment, date obtained:			
4. Classification of Claim. Chack the appropriate box or t	i Aves that hast due	rihe wour claim and claim the ame	und of the plant of the first over Clark		
See reverse side for important explanations.		and you dain and date the amo	on of the claim at the one case filed.		
Unsecured Nonpriority Claim \$6,856,824.92 (plus accruin	g Secui	ed Clalm	)		
Interest and attorneys' fees)	□ c	heck this box if your claim is	secured by collateral (including		
Check this box if: a) there is no collateral or iten securing y	our aright	of satoff).	, , ,		
claim, or b) your claim exceeds the value of the property securing	it, or (f Brie	if Description of Colleteral;			
c) none or only part of your dalm is entitled to priority.		Real Estate 🔲 Motor Vehicle 🔲	Other:		
Unsecured Priority Claim	Val	Je of Collateral; S			
☐ Check this box if you have an unsecured claim, all or part of while entitled to priority.	chis 📗 🚻	or or consteran,			
Amount entitled to priority: \$	Amoun	t of arrearage claim and other cl	harges <u>at time case filed</u> included in		
Specify the priority of the claim:	secure	d dalm, If any: \$			
· ·		to \$2 225t at deposite to and a	rchase, lease, or rental of property		
Domestic support obligations under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(I)(A) or (a)(I)(B)	or s	ervices for personal, family, or h	richase, lease, or rental of property louisehold use - 11 U.S.C. 8		
☐ Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$10,000),* earned within	n 180 507	(a)(7).			
days before filing of the bankruptcy petition or cassation of the debt	or's LITab	es or penallies owed to governn	nental units - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).		
business, whichever is earlier 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).		er - Specify applicable paragrap	h of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)().		
☐ Contributions to an employee benefit plan - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(	5). "Amou	nts are subject to adjustment on	4/1/07 and every 3 years thereafter		
5 Total Amount of Claim at Time Core Ellert. Sc core on	Will	respect to cases commenced o	n or after the date of adjustment.		
5. Total Amount of Claim at Time Case Filed: \$6.658,62	(inter		\$6,656,624.92		
Chack this box if claim includes interest or other charges in addition to	he principal amount	of the claim. Attach itemized statem	ent of all interest or additional charges.		
6. Credits: The amount of all payments on this claim has been cre claim.	dited and deducte	d for the purpose of making this	PROOF OF THIS SPACE IS FOR		
7. Supporting Documents: Attach copies of supporting documen	h munh on mon1-		DECEOUPTWEETIN		
Ilemized statements of running accounts, contracts, court judgm	s, such as promis ents, mortoages,	sory notes, purchase orders, inv security armements, and added			
Itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, court judgm perfection of lien. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS, if a voluminous, attach a summary.	he documents are	nol available, explain. If the do	Similante ara		
voluminous, attach a summary.	_		الآلام   3   3   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1		
Date-Stamped Gopy: To receive an acknowledgment of the fills and copy of this proof of claim.	ig of your claim, e	nciose a stamped, selfaddresse			
	the english or all	lor namen mulhed 1- 41- old	CLAIMS PROCESSING DENTER		
Date Sign and print the name and title, if any, of the creditor or other person authorized to tile the claim 15 PACCESSING COPY of power of attorney, if any):					
$1 \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \sim 1$					
July 27, 2006 Christopher D. Carson, Attorney for Wachovia Bank, N.A., successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank					
Penalty for prosenting frauddent date: Fine of up to \$500,000 or impression for up to 5 years or both \$100.000 frauddent date: Fine of up to \$500,000 or impression for up to 5 years or both \$100.000 frauddent date: Fine of up to \$500.000 or impression for up to 5 years or both \$100.000 frauddent date: Fine of up to \$500.000 or impression for up to 5 years or both \$100.000 frauddent date: Fine of up to \$500.000 frauddent date: Fine of up to \$50					

BURR & FORMAN LLP
420 North 20th Street
Suite 3100
Birmingham, Alabama 35203
(205) 251-3000
Christopher D. Carson
Jason D. Woodard
Jennifer A. Harris

Attorneys for Creditor Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re : Chapter 11

DELPHI CORPORATION : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Debtor.

### STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PROOF OF CLAIM OF WACHOVIA BANK

COMES NOW Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank ("Wachovia"), and, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 501 and 502, files this Statement in Support of its Proof of Claim, which reflects a claim in the amount of \$6,656,624.92 as of the petition date, plus accruing interest, costs, and attorneys fees. In support of its claim, Wachovia states the following:

1. Wachovia holds pre-petition claims against Delphi Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC, Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC, Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems (collectively, "Delphi"), for misrepresentation, suppression, conspiracy, breach of

contract, interference with business relationship, breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing, negligence, and promissory estoppel.

- 2. On March 3, 2003, Wachovia filed suit against Delphi in the Circuit Court for the Second Judicial District of Hinds County Mississippi (the "Mississippi State Court"), commencing litigation on its pre-petition claims and seeking compensatory damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and punitive damages.
- 3. Litigation of Wachovia's pre-petition claims against Delphi in the Mississippi State Court is currently stayed by virtue of Delphi's bankruptcy. A true and correct copy of Wachovia's complaint, which sets forth the basis of its claims against Delphi, is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 4. Wachovia's claim against the estate of the above-captioned Debtor as of the petition date includes \$966,750.68 in compensatory damages, \$856,120.84 in attorneys' fees and expenses, and \$4,833,753.40 in punitive damages.

Dated this the 28th day of July, 2006

Christopher D. Carson Jason D. Woodard Jennifer A. Harris

OF COUNSEL: BURR & FORMAN LLP 3100 Wachovia Tower 420 North 20th Street Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Telephone: (205) 251-3000 Facsimile: (205) 458-5100

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the Proof of Claim of Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank, and the Statement in Support of the Proof of Claim of Wachovia Bank and its accompanying Exhibit have been served on the following counsel for the Debtor, via first class United States mail, postage prepaid, on this the 28th day of July 2006:

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP John Wm. Butler, Jr. John K. Lyons Ron E. Meisler 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

Kayalyn A. Marafioti Thomas J. Matz FourtTimes Square New York, New York 10036

OF COUNSEL

LANGSIUN-SWRET-F

Ø 02

P.02

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

SOUTHTRUST BANK, a corporation

Plaintiff.

ν.

LEXTRON CORPORATION, a comoration; CHARLES DOTY, an individual: LEXTRON AUTOMOTIVE, LLC, a limited liability company: DELPHI CORPORATION, 2 corporation; DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS USA, LLC, a limited liability company; DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS, LLC, a limited liability company; DELPHI PACKARD, DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS, DELPHI ENERGY & CHASSIS SYSTEMS, and DELPHI SAFETY AND INTERIOR SYSTEMS, divisions, gubsidiaries or affiliates of defendant, Delphi Corporation.

FILED

APR 1 4 2003

Barbara Dunn, Circuit Clerk

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2003 - 14

Defendants.

## COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Comes Now plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank, and for its Complaint against defendants Charles Doty, Lextron Corporation, Lextron Automotive, LLC, Delphi Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC, Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC, Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems (collectively, the "Defendants"), states the following:

**EXHIBIT** 

1014962 vt

Ø 03

Apr-14-09 03:43P

P.03

### I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank, is an Alabama banking corporation, doing business in Hinds County, Mississippi ("SouthTrust").
- 2. Defendant Lextron Corporation ("Lextron Corp.") is a Mississippi corporation with its principal place of business located in the City of Jackson. Hinds County, Mississippi. Lextron Corp. is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of the unpaid Loans (herein after defined) owing to SouthTrust.
- 3. Defendant Charles Doty ("Doty") is an Individual resident citizen of Hinds County. Mississippi. Doty is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of his personal guarantee of the Loans.
- 4. Defendant Lextron Automotive, LLC ("Lextron Automotive," and together with Lextron Corp., "Lextron") is a Mississippi limited liability company with its principal place of business located in the City of Jackson, Hinds County, Mississippi. Lextron Automotive is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of its guarantee of the Loans.
- 5. Defendant Delphi Corporation ("Delphi Corp.") is a Delaware corporation doing business in Mississippi.
- 6. Defendant Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC (\*Delphi Auto USA\*) is a Michigan limited liability company doing business in Mississippi.
- 7. Defendant Delphi Automotive, LLC ("Delphi Automotive") is a Delaware limited liability doing business in Mississippi.
- 8. Defendants Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems are divisions.

TWUGSION-SARRI-K

**₫** 04

-03 **03:4**3P

P. 04

subsidiaries or affiliates of Delphi Corp., Delphi Auto USA and/or Delphi Automotive, and are doing business in Mississippi (collectively, and together with Delphi Corp., Delphi Auto USA and Delphi Automotive, the "Delphi Defendants").

The Delphi Defendants are named as defendants in this action by virtue of 8. covenants, assurances, commitments, fraud, negligence, preaches of covenants of good falth and fair dealing, and misrepresentations made to SouthTrust regarding the Delphi Defendants' business relationship with Lextron.

### II. FACTS

- From Ilme to time, SouthTrust made loans (the "Loans") to Lextron to fund 10. its business operations, including its manufacturing and assembly business with the Delphi Defendants. The Loans are evidenced by various promissory notes and credit agreements (the "Loan Documents"). [Copies of the Loan Documents, the Collateral Documents (hereinafter defined) and the Guaranties (hereinafter defined) are so voluminous that it is not practical to attach copies to this Complaint. Such copies will be made available to the Court, the jury and the Defendants].
- The Loans are secured by various mortgages, security agreements and other collateral documents made by Lextron in favor of SouthTrust (the "Collateral Documents").
- Doty and Lextron Automotive unconditionally guaranteed the Loans as 12. evidenced by various guaranties executed in favor of SouthTrust (the "Guaranties" and together with the Loan Documents and the Collateral Documents, the "Credit Documents").
- Defaults occurred under the Credit Documents, and all applicable cure 13. periods have expired. Lextron and Doty failed to cure such defaults. The total amount 3

Pg 9 of 42

Ø 05

Apr-14-03 D3:43P

P.05

of the Loans, including all principal, interest and agreed charges, are now due and payable by Lexiron and Doty.

- 14. Since 1997 Lextron has been a manufacturer, assembler and supplier of certain automobile parts for one or more of the Delphi Defendants.
- 15. The Delphi Defendants shipped raw materials to Lextron, creating an account payable ("Accounts Payable") owing by Lextron to the Delphi Defendants.
- 16. Lextron manufactured finished products from the raw materials and shipped the finished products back to the Delphi Defendants thereby creating accounts receivable owing by the Delphi Defendants ("Accounts Receivable").
- 17. The Accounts Receivable were to exceed the Accounts Payable, and the Delphi Defendants would pay the excess to Lextron; such excess represented Lextron's gross profits from this arrangement. The Accounts Receivable were pledged to SouthTrust as security for the Loans pursuant to the terms of the Collateral Documents.
- 18. The Delphi Defendants frequently turned to Lextron to perform work that was to be completed by other suppliers who could not meet the Delphi Defendants' production demands.
- 19. The business relationship between Lextron and the Delphi Defendants grew to the point that by August 2001 Lextron completed construction of a 59,000 square foot, state-of-the-art warehouse/office facility in Jackson, Mississippi to ensure that Lextron could meet the production demands of the Dephi Defendants.
- 20. Lextron received over 90% of its revenue from the work it performed for the Delphi Defendants, and the Delphi Defendants were aware of this fact.

LANGSTON-SWET-F

**@**106

Apr-14-03 03:44P

P.06

- 21. Apparently due to rapid growth in business, in November 2002, Lextron began experiencing financial difficulties and defaults occurred under the Credit Documents. Andy Raine, a Vice-President of SouthTrust ("Raine"), met with Lextron and Doty to discuss a strategy for Lextron to work through its financial difficulties and repay the Loans to SouthTrust.
- 22. Due to the defaults. SouthTrust entered into a Forbearance Agreement dated. December 31, 2002 with Lexiron and Doty, whereby it was agreed that SouthTrust would forbear for a period of time from exercising its remedies to collect the Loans and otherwise forbear from enforcing the Credit Documents. It was anticipated that during this period of forbearance, Lextron would work through its financial problems.
- 23. Approximately one week later, in early January of 2003, Mr. Raine received a telephone call from Sidney Johnson ("Johnson"). Director of Purchasing for Delphi Automotive. Mr. Johnson asked SouthTrust to lend Lextron additional money so Lextron could pay its payroll due to employees.
- 24. Mr. Reine told Mr. Johnson that SouthTrust would not loan Lextron additional money without assurances from the Delphi Defendants that they would not terminate their business relationship with Lextron, and that Lextron would have enough work from the Delphi Defendants to continue in business. Mr. Johnson gave Mr. Raine those assurances, but these promises were not true.
- 25. After Mr. Johnson's phone conversation with Mr. Raine, Greg Naylor ("Naylor") and Martha "Marty" Everett ("Everett") from the Delphi Defendants, on

04/14/09 18:50 KAI 2058717030

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø 07

Apr-14-03 03:44P

P-07

separate occasions, discussed with Mr. Raine Lextron's financial status and Lextron's relationship with the Delphi Defendants.

- 26. In those conversations, Mr. Naylor and Ms. Everett-specifically stated that the Delphi Defendants would continue their business relationship with Lextron and specifically requested that SouthTrust land additional sums of money to Lextron and to forbear taking any collection action against Lextron.
- 27. In these conversations, Mr. Raine requested that the Delphi Defendants provide written confirmation of their commitment to Lextron, and on or about January 9, 2003, Larry W. Graves, North American Purchasing Director Delphi Packard Electric Systems, ("Graves") sent a memorandum to Mr. Raine via e-mail (the "Delphi Memo") with copies going to Mr. Johnson, Mr. Naylor and Ms. Everett.
- 28. The Delphi Memo made reference to the conversations between representatives of SouthTrust and the Dephi Defendants, and went on to say that "I am writing you [SouthTrust] this memo to re-iterate Delphi Packard's (Delphi-P) focus to maintain Lextron corporation as a supplier. We have the resources to assist Lextron, including a reduction in our payment terms to Net 15, during its cash flow shortfell." The Delphi Memo specifically asked that "because of Delphi-P's support and interest in Lextron, Delphi-P is requesting SouthTrust Bank to continue its support of Lextron."
- 29. In reliance on the assurances made in the phone conversations with Mr. Johnson, Mr. Naylor, Ms. Everett and Mr. Graves, and in reliance of the representations made in the Delphi Memo, SouthTrust loaned Lextron an additional \$800,000,

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

**₽** 08

Apr-14-03 03:44F

P.08

- 30. At all times during their communications with SouthTrust, the Delphi Defendants were fully aware of Lextron's financial difficulties.
- 31. Even though the Delphi Defendants had given the foregoing assurances to SouthTrust, SouthTrust learned on February 25, 2003 that the Delphi Defendants intended to terminate their business relationship with Lextron effective February 28, 2003.
- 32. Representatives of Lextron, its financial consultant and SouthTrust phoned the Delphi Defendants several times to discuss the termination of Lextron as a supplier; however no one from any of the Delphi Defendants ever attempted to explain. their decision to abruptly terminate Lextron.
- 33. Moreover, on February 28, 2003, SouthTrust sent a letter to Delphi Corp. (the "February 28 Letter") expressing its concerns regarding the Delphi Defendants' unliateral decision to terminate the Lextron relationship, and the increase in the Loans in reliance upon the assurances given by the Delphi Defendants.
  - 34. No one from the Delphi Defendants responded to the February 26 Letter,
- 35. Because the Delphi Defendants abruptly terminated their business relationship with Lextron, Lextron was forced to lay-off approximately 150 employees and cease all but a minor part of its manufacturing operations.
- 36. After the Delphi Defendants terminated their business relationship with Lextron, SouthTrust learned that the Delphi Defendants were moving the manufacturing work previously performed by Lextron "in-house," presumably to their Delphi Hinds County, Mississippi facility.

**20** 09

P.09

Apr-14-09 03:44P

101011-011001-1.

37. The Delphi Defendents have not attempted to hire any of the 150 employees laid-off by Lextron.

### COUNTI

### NON-PAYMENT OF LOANS

- 38. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 37.
- 39. Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive and Doty are obligated to repay the Loans to SouthTrust pursuant to the terms of the Credit Documents.
- 40. Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive and Doty breached their obligations owing to SouthTrust by failing to pay the principal of, Interest on and agreed charged due on the Loans.

Wherefore, Premises Considered, SouthTrust demands judgment against Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive, and Doty, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount equal to the total principal, interest and agreed charges (including attorney's fees and other expenses of collection) due on the Loans and otherwise owing under the Credit Documents, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

## COUNT II - MISREPRESENTATION

- 41. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 40 above.
- 42. The Delphi Defendants Intentionally, willfully, negligently, wantenly or recklessly misrepresented to SouthTrust that they would continue their manufacturing relationship with Lextron and continue to give business to Lextron (the "Delphi

U4/14/U3 18:58 FAX 2058717030

LANGSTON-SWEET-P

Ø110

Apr-14-03 03:44P

P.10

Representations") for the purpose of inducing SouthTrust to continue its banking relationship with Lextron and to induce SouthTrust to make additional loan advances to Lextron.

- The Delphi Representations were false.
- 44. Delphi made the Delphi Representations to SouthTrust knowing the Delphi Representations were false or with Ignorance of their truth.
- 45. Delphi intended that SouthTrust would act upon the Delphi Representations in a manner reasonably contemplated.
  - 46. SouthTrust did not know the Delphi Representations were false.
  - SouthTrust relied on the Delphi Representations.
  - SouthTrust had the right to rely on the Dalphi Representations.
- 49. SouthTrust was injured as a consequence of the Delphi Representations being false.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Dalphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT III - SUPPRESSION

50. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 49 above.

Pa 15 of 42

Ø111

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.11

- 51. The Delphi Defendants had a duty to disclose existing material facts to SouthTrust regarding their relationship with Lextron.
- 52. The Delphi Defendants suppressed those material facts from SouthTrust to Induce SouthTrust to continue its banking relationship with Lextron and make additional loan advances to Lextron.
- 53. SouthTrust was injured and suffered actual damages as a result of the Delphi Defendants' suppression of the material facts.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT IV - CONSPIRACY

- 54. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 53 above.
  - 55. The Delphi Defendants conspired to defraud SouthTrust.
- 56. The Delphi Defendants' committed over acts of fraud by sending correspondence and having phone conferences with SouthTrust in furtherance of the conspirecy.
  - 57. SouthTrust suffered damages as a result of the fraud and conspiracy.

    WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

LANGSTON-SWEET-P

**4**12

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.12

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT V - BREACH OF COVENANTS

- 58. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 57-above.
- 59. The Delphi Representations constituted covenants by the Delphi Defendants that they would continue to give manufacturing, assembly and supply business to Lextron thereby giving Lextron the wherewith all to stay in business and repay the Loans to SouthTrust.
- 60. In consideration of the covenants made by the Delphi Defendants, and at the request of the Delphi Defendants, SouthTrust made additional advances to Lextron on the Loans.
- 61. The Delphi Defendants breached their covenants made to SouthTrust when they terminated their business relationship with Lextron.
- 62. SouthTrust suffered damages as a direct consequence of such breach by the Delphi Defendants.

Wherefore, Premises Considered, SouthTrust demands judgment against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be

. :

04/14/00 TQ:00 RWY 50282715020

Pg 17 of 42 LANGSTON-SWRET-F

图13

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.13

determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

### COUNT VI - INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP

- 63. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 62 above.
- 64. SouthTrust and Lextron had a contractual business and banking relationship.
- 65. The Delphi Defendants knew of this relationship between SouthTrust and Lextron.
- . 66. The Delphi Defendants Intentionally Interfered with such business and banking relationship.
- 67. The Delphi Defendants' interference with such business and banking relationship was intentional and willful.
- 68. The Delphi Defendants' interference with such business and banking relationship was not justified.
- 69. The Delphi Defendants' actions were calculated to cause damage to SouthTrust.
- 70. The Delphi Defendants' actions were done with the unlawful purpose of causing damage and loss, without right or justifiable cause.
- 71. SouthTrust has suffered damages as a result of the Delphi Defendants' Interference.

Wherefore, Premises Considered, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø 15

Apr-14-03 09:46P

P.16

- 76. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 75 above.
  - 77. The Delphi Defendants owed a duty to SouthTrust.
- 78. The Delphi Defendents breached that duty when they terminated their business relationship with Lextron.
- 79. SouthTrust was injured, and the Delphi Defendants' breach of duty was the proximate and cause-in-fact of SouthTrust's injury.
- 80. SouthTrust suffered actual loss and demage resulting to the interests of the Delphi Defendants.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT XI - PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

- 81. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 80 above.
- 82. The Delphi Defendants promised SouthTrust that the Delphi Defendants would not terminate their business relationship with Lextron and that Lextron would have enough work from the Delphi Defendants to continue in business.

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

**@** 16

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.16

- 83. The Delphi Defendants reasonably expected such promises to induce SouthTrust to act by lending additional money to Lextron.
- The expected action or forbearance by SouthTrust was of a definite and 84. substantial character.
- 85. The promises did, in fact, induce SouthTrust into lending additional money to Lextron.

Wherefore, Premises Considered, SouthTrust demands Judgment as follows:

- Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

SOUTHTRUST DEMANDS A TRIAL BY STRUCK JURY ON ALL COUNTS OF THIS COMPLAINT

Dennis C. Sweet, III (MB #: 8105) Richard A. Freese (MB # 99885)

Attorneys for Plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank

### OF COUNSEL;

Langston Sweet & Freese, P.C. 201 North President Street Jackson Mississippi 39201 (601) 969-1356 (601) 968-3866 Fax

AALTALOO TO:OD KWY SAGGLIJAGO

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø 17

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.17

### OF COUNSEL:

Langston SWEET & FREESE, P.C. 2900 Highway 280 Suite 240 Birmingham, AL 35223 (205) 871-4144 (206) 871-4184

### OF COUNSEL:

Robert H. Walker, Esquire
Burn & Forman LLP
210 East Capitol Street – Suite 700
Jackson, MS 39201
(601) 355-3434
(601) 355-5150 Fax

### OF COUNSEL:

D. Christopher Carson, Esquire Christina A. Graham, Esquire Burr & Forman LLP 3100 SouthTrust Tower 420 North 20<sup>th</sup> Street Birmingham, Al. 35203 (205) 251-3000 (205) 358-5100 Fax

### <u>DEFENDANTS ADDRESSES:</u>

Charles Doty 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Lextron Corporation 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Lexiron Automotive, LLC 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Delphi Corporation

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

但18

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.18

5725 Delphi Drive Troy, Michigan 48098-2815

Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC 6546 Mercantile Way Lansing, Michigan 48911

Deiphi Automotive Systems, LLC 7525 Deiphi Drive Troy, Michigan 48098

Delphi Packard 408 Dana Street Warren, Ohio 44486

Delphi Packard Electric Systems 408 Dana Street Warren: Ohio 44486

Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems 5725 Delphi Drive, Building D Troy, Michigan 48098

Delphi Safety & Interior Systems 1401 Crooks Road M/C: 480-009-130 Troy, Michigan 48084

ORM B10 (Official Form 10) (10/05)			$\bigcirc\bigcirc\bigcirc\bigcirc$		
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN	DISTRI	CT OF NEW YORK	CONCEPTOR CLAIM		
Name of Debtor	Case 1	Number:	RECEIVED		
Delphi Corporation	05-4	4481 (RDD)	11		
NOTE: This form should not be used to make a claim for an administrative expens		ftor the commencement of the case. A "request"	AUG 1 1 2006		
for payment of an administrative expense may be filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503.  Name of Creditor (The person or other entity to whom the debtor owas		Ohanla in a Maria	<b>{  </b>		
money of property)		Check box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to	KURTZMAN CARSON		
Wachovia Bank, N.A., successor by merger to		your claim. Attach copy of statement	RONIZMAN CANSON		
SouthTrust Bank		glving particulars.	1		
Name and addresses where notices should be sent	<b>⊺</b> ⊠	Chack box if you have never received	Later Designation Poturned		
Christopher D. Carson	} —	any notices from the bankruptcy court	Date Stamped Copy Returned		
Burr & Forman LLP	1	In this case.	No self addressed stamped en		
420 N 20th Street, Sulte 3100 Birmingham, Alabama 35203	Jп	Check box if the address differs from	No copy to ratum		
Telephone No. (205)251-3000	1 -	the address on the envelope sent to	Tien and in in in		
• •		you by the court.	THIS SPACE IS FOR COURT USE ONLY.		
Lest four digits of account or other number by which creditor identifies debtor:	Check	here replaces			
	If this a	a previously filed Jaim 🔲 amends	claim, dated:		
1. Basis for claim		Retiree benefits as defined in 11	IISC 8 1114(a)		
☐ Goods sold	Ħ	Wages, salaries, and compensa	etion (Fill out holous)		
Services performed	_	Last four digits of your SS #	anon (cm ont below)		
Money loaned		Last four digits of your SS # Unpaid compensation for service	enc porformed		
☐ Personal Injury/w/ongful death ☐ Taxes		from	es benomeo		
Other: Pre-petition tort, contract, and equitable claims		fromto	(data)		
2. Date dabt was incurred:	13 lf c	ourt judgment, date obtained:			
	3. 11 6	corr judgment, date obtailed.			
4. Classification of Claim. Check the appropriate box or i	hoxes that	best describe your claim and state the amo	ough of the cipies at the time ones float		
See reverse side for important explanations,		Total describe your cause one state and sing	out of the Claim at the taile case filed.		
Unsecured Nonpriority Claim \$6,656,624.92 (plus accrul	ng	Secured Claim	}		
Interest and attorneys' fees)		Check this box if your claim is	secured by collateral finduction		
M		a right of setoff).	Gindbich is releasion of control		
Check this box if: a) there is no collateral or lien securing y claim, or b) your claim exceeds the value of the property securing	your	Brief Description of Collateral:			
<ul> <li>c) none or only part of your claim is entitled to priority.</li> </ul>	II, OF II	☐ Real Estate ☐ Motor Vehicle ☐	Cibon		
Unsecured Priority Claim			TOMAI:		
Check this box if you have an unsecured claim, all or part of wh	ich is	Value of Collateral: \$			
entitled to priority.	101113				
Amount entitled to priority: \$		Amount of arrearage dalm and other d	harges <u>at time case filed</u> included in		
Specify the priority of the claim:		secured claim, if any: \$	<del></del>		
☐ Domestic support obligations under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(l)(A) or		☐ Up to \$2,225° of deposits toward pt	inflace lease or rental of property		
(a)(i)(B)		or services for personal, family, or i	lousehold use - 11 U.S.C. 6		
☐ Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$10,000)," earned with	ia 190	507(a)(7).			
days before filling of the bankruptcy petition or cessation of the debt	lor's	Taxes or penalties owed to govern	nental units - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).		
business, whichever is earlier 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).		Other - Specify applicable paragrap			
☐ Contributions to an employee benefit plan - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)	(5).	*Amounts are subject to adjustment on	4/1/07 and every 3 years thereafter		
		with respect to cases commenced of	on or effer the date of adjustment.		
5. Total Amount of Claim at Time Case Filed: \$6,656,62	24.92	\$\$	\$ <u>6,656,624.92</u>		
(urantaria)	<del>4</del>	(band)	7300		
<ul> <li>Check this box if dalm includes interest or other charges in addition to</li> <li>Credits: The amount of all payments on this claim has been cre</li> </ul>	nie buucle Alited and	a) amount of the claim. Attach Hemizod statem			
claim.	outen and	deducted for the purpose of making this			
7. Supporting Documents: Atlach copies of supporting documen	is such s		COURT USE ONLY		
itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, court judge	rents, mo	rigages, security agreements, and eviden	<del>//////</del>		
релеской of lien. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. [[1	the docur	nenis are not available, explain, if the de-	MESE IVER		
Threat and a daily large		l'i			
8. Date-Stamped Copy: To receive an acknowledgment of the filing of your claim, enclose a stamped, selfaddress en envelope					
and copy of this proof of claim.					
Date Sign and print the name and title, if any, o	f the cred	lilor or other person authorized to tile tilis	clam (attach		
copy of power of attorney, if any):		3			
July 27, 2006 AND CENTER USBC, SDAY					
Christophe D. Carson, Attorney for Wach	loyia Bani	k, N.A., successor by merger to South Tru	ist Bank		
Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim: File of up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to	5 years or b	Oth. 18 U.S.C. 55 152 and 3571.			

BURR & FORMAN LLP 420 North 20th Street Suite 3100 Birmingham, Alabama 35203 (205) 251-3000 Christopher D. Carson Jason D. Woodard Jennifer A. Harris

Attorneys for Creditor Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re : Chapter 11

**DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE** 

SYSTEMS, LLC : Case No. 05-44640 (RDD)

Debtor.

------

### STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PROOF OF CLAIM OF WACHOVIA BANK

COMES NOW Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank ("Wachovia"), and, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 501 and 502, files this Statement in Support of its Proof of Claim, which reflects a claim in the amount of \$\$6,656,624.92 as of the petition date, plus accruing interest, costs, and attorneys fees. In support of its claim, Wachovia states the following:

1. Wachovia holds pre-petition claims against Delphi Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC, Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC, Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems (collectively, "Delphi"), for misrepresentation, suppression, conspiracy, breach of

contract, interference with business relationship, breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing, negligence, and promissory estoppel.

- 2. On March 3, 2003, Wachovia filed suit against Delphi in the Circuit Court for the Second Judicial District of Hinds County Mississippi (the "Mississippi State Court"), commencing litigation on its pre-petition claims and seeking compensatory damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and punitive damages.
- 3. Litigation of Wachovia's pre-petition claims against Delphi in the Mississippi State Court is currently stayed by virtue of Delphi's bankruptcy. A true and correct copy of Wachovia's complaint, which sets forth the basis of its claims against Delphi, is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 4. Wachovia's claim against the estate of the above-captioned Debtor as of the petition date includes \$966,750.68 in compensatory damages, \$856,120.84 in attorneys' fees and expenses, and \$4,833,753.40 in punitive damages.

Dated this the 28th day of July, 2006

Christopher\D. Carson
Jason D. Woodard
Jennifer A. Harris

OF COUNSEL: BURR & FORMAN LLP 3100 Wachovia Tower 420 North 20th Street Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Telephone: (205) 251-3000 Facsimile: (205) 458-5100

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the Proof of Claim of Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank, and the Statement in Support of the Proof of Claim of Wachovia Bank and its accompanying Exhibit have been served on the following counsel for the Debtor, via first class United States mail, postage prepaid, on this the 28th day of July 2006:

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP John Wm. Butler, Jr. John K. Lyons Ron E. Meisler 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

Kayalyn A. Marafioti Thomas J. Matz FourtTimes Square New York, New York 10036

OF COUNSEL

04/14/03 18:58 FAX 20587<u>1</u>7930

LANGSTON-SWEET-P

**2**02 3

Apr-14-03 03:43P

v.

P.02

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

SOUTHTRUST BANK, a corporation

Plaintiff,

LEXTRON CORPORATION, a corporation; CHARLES DOTY, an Individual; LEXTRON AUTOMOTIVE, LLC, a limited liability company; DELPHI CORPORATION, a corporation; DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS USA, LLC, a limited liability company; DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS, LLC, a limited liability company; DELPHI PACKARD, DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS, DELPHI ENERGY & CHASSIS SYSTEMS, and DELPHI SAFETY AND INTERIOR

SYSTEMS, divisions, subsidiaries or

FILED

APR 1 4 2003

BARBARA DUNN, CIRCUIT CLERK

GIVIL ACTION NO. 2003-14

Defendants.

Corporation.

affiliates of defendant, Delphi

## COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Comes Now plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank, and for its Complaint against defendants Charles Doty. Lextron Corporation, Lextron Automotive, LLC, Delphi Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC, Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC, Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems (collectively, the "Defendants"), states the following:

EXHIBIT

1084962 vs

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

**2**103

Apr-14-03 03:43P

P.03

### I. PARTIES

- Plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank, is an Alabama banking corporation, doing business in Hinds County, Mississippi ("SouthTrust").
- 2. Defendant Lextron Corporation ("Lextron Corp.") is a Mississippi corporation with its principal place of business located in the City of Jackson, Hinds County, Mississippi. Lextron Corp. is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of the unpaid Loans (herein after defined) owing to SouthTrust.
- 3. Defendant Charles Doty ("Doty") is an individual resident citizen of Hinds County. Mississippi. Doty is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of his personal guarantee of the Loans.
- 4. Defendant Lextron Automotive, LLC ("Lextron Automotive," and together with Lextron Corp., "Lextron") is a Mississippi limited liability company with its principal place of business located in the City of Jackson, Hinds County, Mississippi. Lextron Automotive is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of its guarantee of the Loans.
- Defendant Delphi Corporation ("Delphi Corp.") is a Delaware corporation doing business in Mississippi.
- 6. Defendant Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC ("Delphi Auto USA") is a Michigan Ilmited (lability company doing business in Mississippi.
- 7. Defendant Delphi Automotive, LLC ("Delphi Automotive") is a Delaware limited liability doing business in Mississippi.
- 8. Defendants Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems are divisions,

U4/14/U3 18:56 PAX 2058717030

LANGSTON-SWRET-F

**2**104

Apr-14-03 03:43P

P. 04

subsidiaries or affiliates of Delphi Corp., Delphi Auto USA and/or Delphi Automotive, and are doing business in Mississippi (collectively, and together with Delphi Corp., Delphi Auto USA and Delphi Automotive, the "Delphi Defendants").

9. The Delphi Defendants are named as defendants in this action by virtue of covenants, assurances, commitments, fraud, negligence, breaches of covenants of good faith and fair dealing, and misrepresentations made to SouthTrust regarding the Delphi Defendants' business relationship with Lextron.

### II. FACTS

- 10. From time to time, SouthTrust made loans (the "Loans") to Lexiron to fund its business operations, including its manufacturing and assembly business with the Delphi Defendants. The Loans are evidenced by various promissory notes and credit agreements (the "Loan Documents"). [Coptes of the Loan Documents, the Collateral Documents (hereinafter defined) and the Guaranties (hereinafter defined) are so voluminous that it is not practical to attach copies to this Complaint. Such copies will be made available to the Court, the jury and the Defendants].
- 11. The Loans are secured by various mortgages, security agreements and other collateral documents made by Lextron in favor of SouthTrust (the "Collateral Documents").
- 12. Dofy and Lextron Automotive unconditionally guaranteed the Loans as evidenced by various guaranties executed in favor of SouthTrust (the "Guaranties" and together with the Loan Documents and the Collateral Documents, the "Credit Documents").
- 13. Defaults occurred under the Credit Documents, and all applicable cure periods have expired. Lextron and Doty failed to cure such defaults. The total amount

LANGSTON-SWRET-F

**₫**05

P.06

Apr-14-03 D3:43P

of the Loans, including all principal, interest and agreed charges, are now due and payable by Lextron and Doty.

- 14. Since 1997 Lextron has been a manufacturer, assembler and supplier of certain automobile parts for one or more of the Delphi Defendants.
- 15. The Delphi Defendants shipped raw materials to Lextron, creating an account payable ("Accounts Payable") owing by Lextron to the Delphi Defendants.
- 16. Lextron manufactured finished products from the rew materials and shipped the finished products back to the Delphi Defendants thereby creating accounts receivable owing by the Delphi Defendants ("Accounts Receivable").
- 17. The Accounts Receivable were to exceed the Accounts Payable, and the Delphi Defendants would pay the excess to Lextron; such excess represented Lextron's gross profits from this arrangement. The Accounts Receivable were pledged to SouthTrust as security for the Loans pursuant to the terms of the Collateral Documents.
- 18. The Delphi Defendants frequently turned to Lextron to perform work that was to be completed by other suppliers who could not meet the Delphi Defendants' production demands.
- 19. The business relationship between Lextron and the Delphi Defendants grew to the point that by August 2001 Lextron completed construction of a 59,000 square foot, state-of-the-art warehouse/office facility in Jackson, Mississippi to ensure that Lextron could meet the production demands of the Dephi Defendants.
- 20. Lextron received over 90% of its revenue from the work it performed for the Delphi Defendants, and the Delphi Defendants were aware of this fact.

:

04/14/03 18:58 FAX 205871.7030

Langston-sweet-f

**₩**06

Apr-14-03 03:44P

P.06

- 21. Apparently due to rapid growth in business, in November 2002, Lextron began experiencing financial difficulties and defaults occurred under the Credit Documents. Andy Raine, a Vice-President of SouthTrust ("Raine"), met with Lextron and Doty to discuss a strategy for Lextron to work through its financial difficulties and repay the Loans to SouthTrust.
- Due to the defaults, SouthTrust entered into a Forbearance Agreement dated. December 31, 2002 with Lextron and Doty, whereby it was agreed that SouthTrust would forbear for a period of time from exercising its remedies to collect the Loans and otherwise forbear from enforcing the Credit Documents. It was anticipated that during this period of forbearance, Lextron would work through its financial problems.
- 23. Approximately one week fater, in early January of 2003, Mr. Raine received a telephone call from Sidney Johnson ("Johnson"). Director of Purchasing for Delphi Automotive. Mr. Johnson asked SouthTrust to lend Lextron additional money so Lextron could pay its payroli due to employees.
- 24. Mr. Raine told Mr. Johnson that SouthTrust would not loan Lextron additional money without assurances from the Delphi Defendants that they would not terminate their business relationship with Lextron, and that Lextron would have enough work from the Delphi Defendants to continue in business. Mr. Johnson gave Mr. Raine those assurances, but these promises were not true.
- 25. After Mr. Johnson's phone conversation with Mr. Raine, Greg Naytor ("Naylor") and Martha "Marty" Everatt ("Everett") from the Delphi Defendants, on

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø 07

Apr-14-03 03:44P

**ド・07** 

separate occasions, discussed with Mr. Raine Lextron's financial status and Lextron's relationship with the Delphi Defendants.

- 26. In those conversations, Mr. Naylor and Ms. Everett specifically stated that the Delphi Defendents would continue their business relationship with Lextron and specifically requested that SouthTrust land additional sums of money to Lextron and to forbear taking any collection action against Lextron.
- 27. In these conversations, Mr. Raine requested that the Delphi Defandants provide written confirmation of their commitment to Lextron, and on or about January 9, 2003, Larry W. Graves, North American Purchasing Director Delphi Packard Electric Systems. ("Graves") sent a memorandum to Mr. Raine via e-mail (the "Delphi Memo") with copies going to Mr. Johnson, Mr. Naylor and Ms. Everett.
- 28. The Delphi Mamo made reference to the conversations between representatives of SouthTrust and the Dephi Defendants, and went on to say that "I am writing you [SouthTrust] this memo to re-Iterate Delphi Packard's (Delphi-P) focus to maintain Lextron corporation as a supplier. We have the resources to assist Lextron, including a reduction in our payment terms to Net 15, during its cash flow shortfall." The Delphi Memo specifically asked that "because of Delphi-P's support and interest in Lextron, Delphi-P is requesting SouthTrust Bank to continue its support of Lextron."
- 29. In reliance on the assurances made in the phone conversations with Mr. Johnson, Mr. Naylor, Ms. Everett and Mr. Graves, and in reliance of the representations made in the Delphi Memo, SouthTrust loaned Lextron an additional \$800,000.

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

**2008** 

Apr-14-03 03:44P

P.08

- 30. At all times during their communications with SouthTrust, the Delphi Defendants were fully aware of Lextron's financial difficulties.
- 31. Even though the Delphi Defendants had given the foregoing assurances to SouthTrust, SouthTrust learned on February 25, 2003 that the Delphi Defendants intended to terminate their business relationship with Lextron effective February 28, 2003.
- 32. Representatives of Lextron, its financial consultant and SouthTrust phoned the Delphi Defendants several times to discuss the termination of Lextron as a supplier; however no one from any of the Delphi Defendants ever attempted to explain. their decision to abruptly terminate Lextron.
- 33. Moreover, on February 28, 2003, SouthTrust sent a letter to Delphi Corp. (the "February 28 Latter") expressing its concerns regarding the Delphi Defendants' unliateral decision to terminate the Lextron relationship, and the increase in the Loans in reliance upon the assurances given by the Delphi Defendants.
  - 34. No one from the Delphi Defendants responded to the February 28 Letter.
- 35. Because the Delphi Defendants abruptly terminated their business relationship with Lextron, Lextron was forced to lay-off approximately 150 employees and cease all but a minor part of its manufacturing operations.
- 36. After the Delphi Defendants terminated their business relationship with Lextron, SouthTrust learned that the Delphi Defendants were moving the manufacturing work previously performed by Lextron "in-house," presumably to their Delphi Hinds County, Mississippi facility.

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø 08

Apr-14-03 03:44P

P.09

37. The Delphi Defendants have not attempted to hire any of the 150 employees laid-off by Lextron.

### COUNT !

### NON-PAYMENT OF LOANS

- 38. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 37.
- 39. Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive and Doty are obligated to repay the Loans to SouthTrust pursuant to the terms of the Credit Documents.
- 40. Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive and Doty breached their obligations owing to SouthTrust by failing to pay the principal of, interest on and agreed charged due on the Loans.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment against Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive, and Doty, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount equal to the total principal, interest and agreed charges (including attorney's fees and other expenses of collection) due on the Loans and otherwise owing under the Credit Documents, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

### COUNT II - MISREPRESENTATION

- 41. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 40 above.
- 42. The Delphi Defendants Intentionally, willfully, negligently, wantonly or recklessly misrepresented to SouthTrust that they would continue their manufacturing relationship with Lextron and continue to give business to Lextron (the "Delphi

04/14/03 18:58 PAX 2058717030

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø10

Apr-14-03 03:44P

P.10

Representations") for the purpose of inducing SouthTrust to continue its banking relationship with Lextron and to Induce SouthTrust to make additional loan advances to Lextron.

- 43. The Delphi Representations were false.
- 44. Delphi made the Delphi Representations to South Trust knowing the Delphi Representations were false or with Ignorance of their truth.
- 45. Delphi intended that SouthTrust would act upon the Delphi Representations in a manner reasonably contemplated.
  - 46. SouthTrust did not know the Dalphi Representations were false.
  - SouthTrust relied on the Delphi Representations.
  - South Trust had the right to rely on the Dalphi Representations.
- 49. SouthTrust was injured as a consequence of the Delphi Representations being false.

WHEREFORE, PREMISER CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT III - SUPPRESSION

50. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 49 above.

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø11

Apr-14-03 03:45P

P.11

- 51. The Delphi Defendants had a duty to disclose existing material facts to SouthTrust regarding their relationship with Lextron.
- 52. The Delphi Defendants suppressed those material facts from SouthTrust to Induce SouthTrust to continue its banking relationship with Lextron and make additional loan advances to Lextron.
- 53. SouthTrust was injured and suffered actual damages as a result of the Delphi Defendants' suppression of the material facts.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT IV - CONSPIRACY

- 54. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 53 above.
  - 55. The Delphi Defendants conspired to defraud SouthTrust.
- 56. The Delphi Defendants' committed over acts of fraud by sending correspondence and having phone conferences with SouthTrust in furtherance of the conspirecy.
  - 57. SouthTrust suffered damages as a result of the fraud and conspiracy.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø 12

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.12

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT V - BREACH OF COVENANTS

- 59. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 57-above.
- 59. The Delphi Representations constituted covenants by the Delphi Defendants that they would continue to give manufacturing, assembly and supply business to Lextron thereby giving Lextron the wherewith all to stay in business and repay the Loans to SouthTrust.
- 60. In consideration of the covenants made by the Delphi Defendants, and at the request of the Delphi Defendants, SouthTrust made additional advances to Lextron on the Loans.
- 61. The Delphi Defendants breached their covenants made to SouthTrust when they terminated their business relationship with Lextron.
- 62. SouthTrust suffered damages as a direct consequence of such breach by the Delphi Defendants.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be

;

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø13

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.13

determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

### COUNT VI - INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP

- 63. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 62 above.
- 64. SouthTrust and Lextron had a contractual pusiness and banking relationship.
- 65. The Delphi Defendants knew of this relationship between SouthTrust and Lextron.
- . 66. The Delphi Defendants intentionally interfered with such business and banking relationship.
- 67. The Delphi Defendants' interference with such business and banking relationship was intentional and willful.
- 68. The Delphi Defendants' interference with such business and banking relationship was not justified.
- 69. The Delphi Defendants' actions were calculated to cause damage to SouthTrust.
- 70. The Delphi Defendants' actions were done with the unlawful purpose of causing damage and loss, without right or justifiable cause.
- 71. SouthTrust has suffered damages as a result of the Delphi Defendants' interference.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

102496Z v5

ŧ

04/14/03 18:88 FAX 2058717030

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

**2**14

Apr-14-03 03:45P

P.14

A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

# COUNT VII - BREACH OF DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- 72. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 71 above.
- 73. The Delphi Defendants owed a duty of good faith and fair dealing to SouthTrust.
- 74. The Delphi Defendants breached that duty of good faith and fair dealing when they terminated that business relationship with Lextron.
- 75. SouthTrust suffered damages that were caused by the Delphi Defendants' breach of good faith and feir dealing.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands Judgment as follows:

- A Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT VIII - NEGLIGENCE

04/14/03 18:88 FAX 2058717030

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

@ 15

Apr~14-03 03:45P

P.15

- 76. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 75 above.
  - 77. The Delphi Defendants owed a duty to SouthTrust.
- 78. The Delphi Defendants breached that duty when they terminated their business relationship with Lextron.
- 79. SouthTrust was injured, and the Delphi Defendants' breach of duty was the proximate and cause-in-fact of SouthTrust's injury.
- 80. SouthTrust suffered actual loss and damage resulting to the interests of the Delphi Defendants.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT XI - PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

- 81. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 80 above.
- 82. The Delphi Defendants promised SouthTrust that the Delphi Defendants would not terminate their business relationship with Lextron and that Lextron would have enough work from the Delphi Defendants to continue in business.

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

**@16** 

Apr-14-03 03:45P

P.16

- 83. The Delphi Defendants reasonably expected such promises to induce SouthTrust to act by lending additional money to Lextron.
- 84. The expected action or forbearance by SouthTrust was of a definite and substantial character.
- 65. The promises did, in fact, induce SouthTrust into lending additional money to Lextron.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendents, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at tool.

SOUTHTRUST DEMANDS A TRIAL BY STRUCK JURY ON ALL COUNTS OF THIS COMPLAINT

Dennis C. Sweet, III (MB #: 8105) Richard A. Freese (MB # 99885)

Attornays for Plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank

### OF COUNSEL:

LANGSTON SWEET & FREESE, P.C. 201 North President Street Jackson Mississippi 39201 (601) 968-1356 (601) 968-3866 Fax

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

四17

Apr-14-03 03:46F

P.17

### OF COUNSEL:

Langston Sweet & Freese, P.C. 2900 Highway 280 Suite 240 Birmingham, AL 35223 (205) 871-4144 (206) 871-4104

### OF COUNSEL:

Robert H. Walker, Esquire
Burn & Forman LLP
210 East Capitol Street – Suite 700
Jackson, MS 39201
(601) 355-3434
(601) 355-5150 Fax

### OF COUNSEL:

D. Christopher Carson, Esquire Christina A. Graham, Esquire Burr & Forman LLP 3100 SouthTrust Tower 420 North 20<sup>th</sup> Street Birmingham, AL 35203 (205) 251-3000 (205) 358-5100 Fax

### **DEFENDANTS ADDRESSES:**

Charles Doty 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Lextron Corporation 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Lextron Automotive, LLC 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

**Delphi Corporation** 

1084962 vs

LANGSTON-SWEET-F

Ø18

Apr-14-03 03:46P

P.18

5725 Delphi Drive Troy, Michigan 48098-2815

Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC 6546 Morcantile Way Lansing, Michigan 48911

Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC 7525 Delphi Drive Troy, Michigan 48098

Delphi Packard 408 Dana Street Warren, Ohio 44486

Delphi Packard Electric Systems 408 Dana Street Warren, Ohio 44486

Oslphi Energy & Chassis Systems 5725 Delphi Drive, Building D Troy, Michigan 48098

Delphi Safety & Interior Systems 1401 Crooks Road M/C: 480-009-130 Troy, Michigan 48084